

Scientific Highlights from Observations of Active Galactic Nuclei with the MAGIC Telescope

Robert Wagner (for the MAGIC Collaboration)

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, D-80805 München, Germany

Abstract. Since 2004, the MAGIC γ -ray telescope has newly discovered 6 TeV blazars. The total set of 13 MAGIC-detected active galactic nuclei includes well-studied objects at other wavelengths like Markarian 501 and the giant radio galaxy M 87, but also the distant the flat-spectrum radio quasar 3C 279, and the newly discovered TeV γ -ray emitter S5 0716+71. In addition, also long-term and multi-wavelength studies on well-known TeV blazars and systematic searches for new TeV blazars have been carried out. Here we report selected highlights from recent MAGIC observations of extragalactic TeV γ -ray sources, emphasizing the new physics insights MAGIC was able to contribute.

Keywords: Active Galactic Nuclei: individual; BL Lacertae objects: individual; gamma rays: observations; gamma-ray telescopes
PACS: 95.55.Ka, 95.85.Pw, 98.62.Js, 98.54.Cm

INTRODUCTION

The study of very high energy (VHE, $E \gtrsim 70$ GeV) γ -ray emission from active galactic nuclei (AGN) is one of the major goals of ground-based γ -ray astronomy. The sensitivity of the current imaging air Cerenkov telescopes (IACT) enables studies of the blazar phenomenon, and in particular advances in understanding both the origin of the VHE γ -rays as well as the relations between photons of different energies (from radio to VHE).

Except for the radio galaxy M 87, all 23 currently known VHE γ -ray emitting AGNs [1] are high-frequency peaked BL Lac objects [2],¹ a subclass of blazars characterized by a low high-energy luminosity when compared with quasars, and a synchrotron peak in the X-ray band. Their Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) is characterized by a second peak at very high γ -ray energies. In synchrotron-self-Compton (SSC) models it is assumed that the observed γ -ray peak is due to the inverse-Compton (IC) emission from the accelerated electrons up-scattering previously produced synchrotron photons to high energies [3]. In hadronic models, instead, interactions of a highly relativistic jet outflow with ambient matter, proton-induced cascades, or synchrotron radiation off protons, are responsible for the high-energy photons. Another defining property of blazars is the high variability of their emission ranging from radio to γ -rays. For VHE γ -ray blazars, correlations between X-ray and γ -ray emission have been found on time scales ranging from ≈ 10 minutes to days and months (see, e.g., Fos-sati et al. [4], Lenain [5]), although the correlations have

proven to be rather complicated [6].

Here we present selected results on multi-wavelength campaigns with MAGIC participation and for MAGIC blazar observations of Mkn 501, M 87 (February 2008), 1ES 1011+496, S5 0716+71, and 3C 279.

THE MAGIC TELESCOPE

MAGIC [7], located on the Canary Island of La Palma (2200 m a.s.l.), is currently the largest (17-m) single-dish IACT. Its energy range spans from 50–60 GeV (trigger threshold at small zenith angles) up to tens of TeV. MAGIC has a sensitivity of $\sim 1.6\%$ of the Crab Nebula flux in 50 observing hours. Its energy resolution is about 30% above 100 GeV and about 25% from 200 GeV onwards. The MAGIC standard analysis chain is described, e.g., in Albert et al. [8]. Observations during moderate moonshine enable a substantially extended duty cycle [9], which is particularly important for blazar observations. Parallel optical R -band observations are performed by the Tuorla Blazar Monitoring Program and its KVA 35-cm telescope. A second MAGIC telescope is being commissioned. By stereoscopic observations, the sensitivity of the two-telescope observatory will be further increased considerably.

STRONG FLARING OF MESSIER 87 IN FEBRUARY 2008

M 87 is the only non-blazar radio galaxy known to emit VHE γ -rays, and one of the best-studied extragalactic black-hole systems. To enable long-term studies and assess the variability timescales and the loca-

¹ See <http://www.mpp.mpg.de/~rwagner/sources/> for an up-to-date source list.




FIGURE 1. The night-by-night light curve for M87 as measured from 2008 January 30 (MJD 54495) to 2008 February 11 (MJD 54507). Left: The flux in the energy bin 150 – 350 GeV, being consistent with a constant emission. Right: Integral flux above 350 GeV; flux variations are apparent on variability timescales down to 1 day. The inset shows the light curve above 350 GeV in a 40 min time binning for the night with the highest flux (2008 February 1). The vertical arrows represent flux upper limits (95% c.l.) for the nights with negative excesses.

tion of the VHE emission in M87, the H.E.S.S., VERITAS, and MAGIC collaborations established a regular, shared monitoring of M87 and agreed on mutual alerts in case of a significant detection [10]. Results from the entire monitoring campaign will appear in a separate paper. During the MAGIC observations, a strong signal of 8σ significance was found on 2008 February 1, triggering the other IACTs as well as *Swift* observations. For the first time, we assessed the energy spectrum below 250 GeV [11, 12], which can be described by a power law with a spectral index of $\Gamma = 2.30 \pm 0.11_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.20_{\text{syst}}$. This relatively hard VHE spectrum is unique among the extragalactic VHE γ -ray sources, which show either curved or softer spectra. We did not measure a high-energy cut-off, but found a marginal spectral hardening, which may be interpreted as a similarity to other blazars detected at VHE, where such hardening has often been observed [1].

Our analysis revealed a variable (significance: 5.6σ) night-to-night γ -ray flux above 350 GeV, while no variability was found in the 150–350 GeV range (Fig. 1). We confirm the $E > 730$ GeV short-time variability of M87 reported by Aharonian et al. [13]. This fastest variability Δt observed so far in TeV γ -rays in M87 is on the order of or even below one day, restricting the emission region to a size of $R \leq \Delta t c \delta = 2.6 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm} = 2.6 \delta$ Schwarzschild radii (Doppler factor δ), and suggests the core of M87 rather than the brightest known knot in the M87 jet, HST-1, as the origin of the TeV γ -rays.

There exists no lower limit on the size of HST-1, and thus the flux variability cannot fully dismiss HST-1 as possible origin of the TeV flux. During the MAGIC observations, however, HST-1 was at a historically low X-ray flux level, whereas at the same time the luminosity of the M87 core was at a historical maximum (D. Harris, priv. comm.). This strongly supports the core of M87 as

the VHE γ -ray emission region.

THE JULY-2005 FLARES OF MKN 501

Mkn 501 ($z = 0.034$) is known to be a strong and variable VHE γ -ray emitter. MAGIC observed Mkn 501 for 24 nights during six weeks in summer 2005 [14]. In two of these (one with moon present), the recorded flux exceeded four times the Crab-nebula flux, and revealed rapid flux changes with doubling times as short as 3 minutes or less. For the first time, short (≈ 20 min) VHE γ -ray flares with a resolved time structure could be used for detailed studies of particle acceleration and cooling timescales.

Interestingly the flares in the two nights behaved differently: While the 2005 June 30 flare is only visible in 250 GeV–1.2 TeV, the 2005 July 9 flare is apparent in all energy bands (120 GeV to beyond 1.2 TeV). Additionally, under some assumptions a time delay between the flare peak in different energy bins ($E < 0.25$ TeV and $E > 1.2$ TeV) in the latter flare was determined to be 4 ± 1 minutes [14]. While this comparatively high value may be explained by the crude binned analysis, the indicated error is clearly underestimated. The reanalysis of these data in [15] resulted in a much-improved estimate for the time-energy relation. At a zero-delay probability of $P = 0.026$, a marginal time delay of $\tau_l = (0.030 \pm 0.012) \text{ s GeV}^{-1}$ towards higher energies was found using two independent analyses, both exploiting the full statistical power of the dataset (see [15, 16] for details).

Several explanations for this delay have been considered up to now:

1. Particles inside the emission region moving with constant Doppler factor need some time to be accelerated to energies that enable them to produce γ

rays with specific energies [14].

2. The γ -ray emission has been captured in the initial acceleration phase of the relativistic blob in the jet, which at any point in time radiates up to highest γ -ray energies possible [17, 18].
3. An one-zone SSC model, which invokes a brief episode of increased particle injection at low energies [19].
4. An energy-dependent speed of photons in vacuum [20], as predicted in some models of quantum gravity [21]. When assuming a simultaneous emission of the γ -rays (of different energies) at the source, a lower limit of $M_{\text{QG}} > 0.21 \times 10^{18}$ GeV (95% c.l.) can be established [15], which increases further if any delay towards higher energies in the source is present.

BLAZARS DETECTED DURING OPTICAL OUTBURSTS

MAGIC has been performing target of opportunity observations upon high optical states of known or potential VHE blazars. Up to now, this strategy has proven very successful with the detection of Mkn 180, 1ES 1011+496, and recently S5 0716+71 (see Lindfors & Mazin [26] and references therein). The 18.7-h observation of 1ES 1011+496 was triggered by an optical outburst in March 2007, resulting in a $6.2\text{-}\sigma$ detection at $F_{>200\text{GeV}} = (1.58 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ [27]. An indication for an optical–VHE correlation is given, in that in spring 2007 the VHE γ -ray flux is $>40\%$ higher than in spring 2006, where MAGIC observed the blazar as part of a systematic search for VHE emission from a sample of X-ray bright ($F_{1\text{keV}} > 2 \mu\text{Jy}$) northern HBLs at moderate ($z < 0.3$) redshifts [28].

In April 2008, KVA observed a high optical state of the blazar S5 0716+71, triggering MAGIC observation, which resulted in the detection of a strong $6.8\text{-}\sigma$ signal, corresponding to a flux of $F_{>400\text{GeV}} \approx 10^{-11} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The MAGIC observation time was 2.6 h. The source was also in a high X-ray state [29].

The determination of the before-unknown redshifts of 1ES 1011+496 ($z = 0.21$, [27]) and S5 0716+71 ($z = 0.31$, [30]) makes these objects the third-most and second-most distant TeV blazars after 3C 279, respectively.

MULTI-WAVELENGTH CAMPAIGNS

For an advanced understanding of blazars, coordinated simultaneous multi-frequency observations are essential, yielding SEDs spanning over 15 orders of magnitude.

MAGIC participated in a number of multiwavelength campaigns carried out on five Northern-hemisphere blazars, which involved the X-ray instruments *Suzaku* and *Swift*, the γ -ray telescope H.E.S.S. and MAGIC, and other optical and radio telescopes.

- Mkn 421 was detected in a campaign April 2006 in all wavelengths (publication under preparation),
- The observations of Mkn 501 in July 2006 revealed the lowest X-ray and VHE state ever observed. No variability in VHE γ -rays was found, while an overall increase of about 50% during one day was seen in X-rays. A one-zone SSC model describes this quiescent state of Mkn 501 well [22].
- Also campaigns on 1ES 1218+304 and 1H 1426+428 have been carried out, during both of which significant X-ray variability has been observed. The VHE data are being analyzed.
- The VHE emission of PG 1553+113 showed no variability during the first multi-wavelength campaign on this blazar in July 2006 [23, 24].
- 1ES 1959+650 showed VHE data among the lowest flux state observed from this object, while at the same time a relatively high optical and X-ray flux (both *Swift/Suzaku*) was found [25]. The SED could be modeled using similar parameters as needed for the SED measured in 2002, with a slightly more compact source and a slightly lower magnetic field.

Further campaigns have been organized.

DETECTION OF THE FLAT-SPECTRUM RADIO QUASAR 3C 279

Observations of 3C 279, the brightest EGRET AGN [31], during the WEBT multi-wavelength campaign [32] revealed a $5.77\text{-}\sigma$ post-trial detection on 2006 February 23 supported by a marginal signal on the preceding night [33, 34]. The overall probability for a zero-flux lightcurve can be rejected on the $5.04\text{-}\sigma$ level. Simultaneous optical *R*-band observations, by the Tuorla Observatory Blazar Monitoring Program revealed that during the MAGIC observations, the γ -ray source was in a generally high optical state, a factor of 2 above the long-term baseline flux, but with no indication of short time-scale variability at visible wavelengths. The observed VHE spectrum can be described by a power law with a differential photon spectral index of $\alpha = 4.1 \pm 0.7_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.2_{\text{syst}}$ between 75 and 500 GeV (Fig. 2). The measured integrated flux above 100 GeV on 23 February is $(5.15 \pm 0.82_{\text{stat}} \pm 1.5_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-10} \text{photons cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$.

Located at $z = 0.536$, VHE observations of such distant sources were until recently impossible due to the ex-




FIGURE 2. Differential energy spectrum of 3C 279. The grey area includes the combined statistical (1σ) and systematic errors. The dotted line shows compatibility of the measured spectrum with a power law of photon index $\alpha = 4.1$. The triangles are measurements corrected on the basis of two models for the EBL density (see text).

pected strong attenuation of γ rays by the extragalactic background light (EBL; see [35] for a review), which influences the observed spectrum and flux, resulting in an exponential decrease with energy and a cutoff in the γ -ray spectrum. The observed VHE spectrum is sensitive to the EBL between $0.2 - 2\mu\text{m}$. The reconstructed intrinsic spectrum is difficult to reconcile with models predicting high EBL densities (e.g., the fast-evolution model of Stecker et al. [36]), while low-level models, e.g. [37, 38], are still viable. Assuming a maximum intrinsic photon index of $\alpha^* = 1.5$, an upper EBL limit is inferred, leaving a small allowed region for the EBL.

The results support, at higher redshift, the conclusion drawn from earlier measurements [38] that the observations of the *Hubble Space Telescope* and *Spitzer* correctly estimate most of the light sources in the Universe. The derived limits are consistent with the EBL evolution corresponding to a maximum star-formation rate at $z \geq 1$, as suggested by [37] and similar models.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

MAGIC enjoys the excellent working conditions at the ORM in La Palma and is supported by the German BMBF and MPG, the Italian INFN, the Spanish MCI-NN, ETH research grant TH 34/04 3, the Polish MNiSzW Grant N N203 390834, and by the YIP of the Helmholtz Gemeinschaft. The author acknowledges partial support by the DFG Cluster of Excellence “Origin and Structure of the Universe”.

REFERENCES

1. R. M. Wagner 2008, *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.*, 385, 119.
2. P. Padovani, P. Giommi 1995, *Astrophys. J.*, 444, 567.
3. L. Maraschi et al. 1992, *Astrophys. J.*, 397, L5.
4. G. Fossati et al. 2008, *Astrophys. J.*, 677, 906.
5. J. P. Lenain (H.E.S.S. Collab.) this volume.
6. R. M. Wagner 2008, PoS(BLAZARS2008)013.
7. C. Baixeras et al. (MAGIC Collab.) 2004, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.*, A518, 188.
8. J. Albert et al. (MAGIC Collab.) 2008, *Astrophys. J.*, 674, 1037.
9. J. Albert et al. (MAGIC Collab.) 2007, preprint: arXiv:astro-ph/0702475.
10. M. Beilicke, M. Hui, D. Mazin, M. Raue, R. M. Wagner, S. Wagner (H.E.S.S., MAGIC, VERITAS Collab.) this volume.
11. D. Mazin, D. Tescaro, R. M. Wagner et al. (MAGIC Collab.) this volume.
12. J. Albert et al. (MAGIC Collab.) 2008, *Astrophys. J.*, 685, L23.
13. F. Aharonian et al. (H.E.S.S. Collab.) 2006, *Science*, 314, 1424.
14. J. Albert et al. (MAGIC Collab.) 2007, *Astrophys. J.*, 669, 862.
15. J. Albert et al. (MAGIC Collab.) 2008, *Phys. Lett. B*, 668, 253.
16. M. Martinez, M. Errando 2008, *Astropart. Phys.* submitted, preprint: arXiv:0803.2120 [astro-ph].
17. W. Bednarek, R. M. Wagner this volume.
18. W. Bednarek, R. M. Wagner 2008, *A&A*, 486, 679.
19. A. Mastichiadis, K. Moraitis this volume.
20. D. Mattingly 2005, *Living Rev. Rel.* 8, 5.
21. G. Amelino-Camelia, 2008, to appear in *Living Rev. Rel.*, preprint: arXiv:0806.0339 [gr-qc].
22. M. Hayashida et al. 2007, in: *Proc. 30th Int. Cosmic Ray Conf.*, Mérida, México.
23. A. Reimer, O. Reimer, G. Madejski, D. Dorner this volume.
24. A. Reimer, L. Costamante, G. Madejski, O. Reimer, D. Dorner 2008, *Astrophys. J.*, 682, 775.
25. G. Tagliaferri et al. (MAGIC Collab.) 2008, *Astrophys. J.*, 679, 1029.
26. E. Lindfors, D. Mazin (MAGIC Collab.) this volume.
27. J. Albert et al. (MAGIC Collab.) 2007, *Astrophys. J.*, 667, L21.
28. J. Albert et al. (MAGIC Collab.) 2008, *Astrophys. J.*, 681, 944.
29. P. Giommi et al. 2008, *Astronomer's Telegram*, 1495, 1.
30. K. Nilsson, T. Pursimo, A. Sillanpää, L. O. Takalo, E. Lindfors 2008, *Astron. Astrophys.*, 487, L29.
31. A. E. Wehrle et al. 1998, *Astrophys. J.*, 497, 178.
32. M. Böttcher et al. 2008, *Astrophys. J.*, 670, 968.
33. J. Albert et al. (MAGIC Collab.) 2008, *Science*, 320, 1752.
34. M. Errando et al. (MAGIC Collab.) this volume.
35. M. G. Hauser, E. Dwek 2001, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.*, 39, 307.
36. F. W. Stecker et al. 2007, *Astrophys. J.*, 667, L29.
37. J. R. Primack et al. 2005, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 745, 23.
38. F. Aharonian et al. (H.E.S.S. Collab.) 2006, *Nature*, 440, 1018.